Office of the Commissioner for Federal Judicial Affairs Canada

Quarterly Financial Report for the quarter ended June 30th, 2012

Statement outlining results, risks, and significant changes in operations, personnel, and program

1. Introduction

This Quarterly Financial Report (QFR) has been prepared by management as required by section 65.1 of the *Financial Administration Act* and in the form and manner prescribed by the Treasury Board Accounting Standard 1.3. This QFR should be read in conjunction with the *Main Estimates, Supplementary Estimates,* as well as *Canada's Economic Action Plan 2012 (Budget 2012)*. It has not been subject to an external audit or review.

1.1 Mandate

The Office of the Commissioner for Federal Judicial Affairs (FJA) Canada was created in 1978 under the authority of the *Judges Act* to safeguard the independence of the Judiciary and to put federally appointed judges at arm's length from the administration of the Department of Justice. It exists to promote better administration of justice and focuses its efforts on providing a sound support role to the federal judiciary.

It administers three distinct and separate components that are funded from three very distinct sources. Statutory funding is allocated for the judges' salaries, allowances and annuities, and surviving beneficiaries' benefits. Voted appropriations are provided in two separate votes to support the administrative activities of FJA and the Canadian Judicial Council (CJC).

The administration of FJA is structured to reflect the distinctiveness of its role in supporting federal judicial activities. Under the Program Activity Architecture, the organization is broken down into three program activities: payments pursuant to the *Judges Act*, CJC, and FJA. These activities strive to meet the priorities of: developing organizational capacity, building a strong, integrated team, improving service delivery, enhancing communications and managing information.

Further details about FJA's authority, mandate, and program activities can be found below and in FJA's *Report on Plans and Priorities* (RPP) and *Main Estimates* located on the Treasury Board website at www.tbs-sct.gc.ca.

1.2 Basis of Presentation

This QFR has been prepared by management using an expenditure basis of accounting. The accompanying Statement of Authorities includes FJA's spending authorities granted by Parliament and those used by the department consistent with the *Main Estimates* and *Supplementary Estimates A* for both the 2011-12 and the 2012-13 fiscal years. This QFR has

been prepared using a special purpose financial reporting framework designed to meet financial information needs with respect to the use of spending authorities.

The authority of Parliament is required before moneys can be spent by the Government. Approvals are given in the form of annually approved limits through appropriation acts or through legislation in the form of statutory spending authority for specific purposes.

When Parliament is dissolved for the purposes of a general election, section 30 of the *Financial Administration Act* authorizes the Governor General, under certain conditions, to issue a special warrant authorizing the Government to withdraw funds from the Consolidated Revenue Fund. A special warrant is deemed to be an appropriation for the fiscal year in which it is issued.

FJA uses the modified accrual method of accounting to prepare and present its annual departmental financial statements that are part of the departmental performance reporting process. However, the spending authorities voted by Parliament remain on an expenditure basis.

2. Highlights of fiscal quarter and fiscal year to date (YTD) results

FJA is financed by the Government through Parliamentary Appropriations (e.g. Statutory Votes for payments pursuant to the *Judges Act* and Employee Benefits Plans (EBP) and Budgetary Votes to support the administration of FJA and CJC).

Vote-netting is a means of funding selected programs or activities wherein Parliament authorizes FJA to apply revenues collected towards costs directly incurred for specific activities. FJA has the authority to spend revenues received during the year arising from the provision of administrative services.

The department's quarterly and year-to-date spending are in line with that of the previous fiscal year. For 2012-13, there is a net increase in budgetary authorities of \$22.4 million compared to the authorities granted in 2011-12 *Main Estimates*. This is primarily due to an increase in statutory costs of \$22.9 million related to the payments issued pursuant to *Judges Act*. FJA operational funding for the Innovation Initiative and Judicial Compensation and Benefits Commission totalling approximately \$0.5 million ended in fiscal year 2011-12.

3. Risks and Uncertainties

FJA's environment is complex due in part to the small size of its organization, the range of services it provides and the large number of clients served. Recognizing this context, FJA has developed a risk profile and actively monitors internal and external risks through its management team. Concise information about significant financial risks and uncertainties, the potential impact to FJA's 2012-13 financial plan and the strategies adopted to manage these financial risks and uncertainties are briefly outlined below. Further detail about FJA's internal and external risks can be found in FJA's 2012-13 RPP.

This QFR reflects the results of the current fiscal period in relation to the *Main Estimates* and *Supplementary Estimates A* for which full supply was released on June 29, 2012.

Budget 2010 announced that the operating budgets of departments would be frozen at their 2010-11 levels for the fiscal years 2011-12 and 2012-13. Management has developed an action plan to adjust to this constraint in funding.

Senior staff at FJA has been working diligently at refining and improving services to judges. During 2011-12, we undertook an Innovation Initiative with the objective of modernizing our processes and improving our capacity to provide timely services to not only judges but also to those lawyers applying to become judges. We have undertaken a number of studies of our various processes and have received recommendations for potential enhancements and modernization.

This office is responsible for the administration of the Judges' Pension system currently maintained by Public Works and Government Services Canada (PWGSC). We have received notification that, after 2012, PWGSC will no longer be supporting this system. We have entered into negotiations with PWGSC for a new solution and, at the current time, have not determined what the impact of this change will be.

At the request of the Minister of Justice pursuant to section 74(1) (d) of the *Judges Act* during 2012-13 this office is again responsible for administering the process for the appointment of a Supreme Court of Canada judge. We have administered the last three processes and have incurred substantial expenditures for which Supplementary funding was requested and, on one occasion, was provided. As a small department with limited funding capacity reallocation of resources to fund this important initiative impacts our ability to fund other priorities of the Department. The estimated budget for this initiative has been set at \$250K for the current fiscal year.

Another duty of this department is the operation of the Judicial Compensation and Benefits Commission. This commission is appointed every four years and a new commission was appointed in October 2011. This commission is mandated to conduct a review of the adequacy of the salaries and other amounts payable under the *Judges Act* and into the adequacy of

judges' benefits generally. The commission's report was presented to the Minister of Justice in May 2012. Decisions made on the recommendations could have an impact on the workload of the department.

4. Significant changes in relation to operations, personnel and programs

There are no significant changes expected in relation to operations, personnel and programs during the coming year.

5. Budget 2012 Implementation

FJA was not affected by the strategic and operating reviews. Therefore, there were no new or renewed initiatives and savings measures announced in Budget 2012 that implicated FJA. However, as mentioned above FJA has a limited funding capacity. Funding necessary to support two key initiatives in 2012-13 namely the Judicial Compensation and Benefits Commission and the administration of the Supreme Court nomination process require reallocation of existing resources.

To improve the effectiveness of departmental operations, FJA undertook an Innovation Initiative during 2011-12 that identified opportunities to modernize current processes and achieve efficiencies in FJA's operations. Management is using opportunities such as position vacancies to review the ways core business responsibilities are delivered and eliminate or reduce inefficiencies. Divisions are also encouraged to embrace innovative ways to conduct business by streamlining processes and by taking advantage of new technologies in order to provide better services at a lower cost.

6. Approval by Senior Officers

Approved by:	
William A. Brooks	Wayne Osborne
Commissioner	Chief Financial Officer
Ottawa, Canada	Ottawa, Canada
Date: August 26 th , 2012	Date: August 26 th , 2012

Statement of Authorities (unaudited)

Fiscal year 2012-13 (in thousands of dollars)

	Total available for use for the year ending March 31, 2013*	Used during the quarter ended June 30, 2012	Year to date used at quarter-end
Vote 20 – Operating expenditures – FJA	8,076	1,528	1,528
Vote 25 – Operating expenditures - CJC	1,509	265	265
Less: Revenues netted against expenditures	(275)	0	0
Net Operating expenditures	9,310	1,793	1,793
Statutory authorities – EBP	982	245	245
Statutory authorities – Judge's Act	474,686	118,228	118,228
Total Budgetary Authorities	484,978	120,266	120,266

^{*}Includes only Authorities available for use and granted by Parliament at quarter-end.

Fiscal year 2011-12 (in thousands of dollars)

	Total available for use for the year ending March 31, 2012*	Used during the quarter ended June 30, 2011	Year to date used at quarter-end
Vote 20 – Operating expenditures – FJA	8,547	1,668	1,668
Vote 25 – Operating expenditures - CJC	1,509	377	377
Less: Revenues netted against expenditures	(275)	0	0
Net Operating expenditures	9,781	2,045	2,045
Statutory authorities – EBP	1,032	258	258
Statutory authorities – Judge's Act	451,770	114,037	114,037
Total Budgetary Authorities	462,583	116,340	116,340

^{*}Includes only Authorities available for use and granted by Parliament at quarter-end.

Departmental budgetary expenditures by Standard Object (unaudited)

Fiscal year 2012-13 (in thousands of dollars)

	Planned expenditures for the year ending March 31, 2013	Used during the quarter ended June 30, 2012	Year to date used at quarter-end
Expenditures:		-	
Personnel (including EBP)	445,863	110,591	110,591
Transportation and communications	30,083	6,352	6,352
Information	55	12	12
Professional and special services	3,539	586	586
Rentals	70	34	34
Repair and maintenance	200	42	42
Utilities, materials and supplies	100	19	19
Acquisition of machinery and equipment	80	5	5
Other subsidies and payments*	5,263	2,625	2,625
Total gross budgetary expenditures	485,253	120,266	120,266
Less: revenues netted against expenditures			
Revenues	(275)	0	0
Total revenues netted against expenditures	(275)	0	0
TOTAL NET BUDGETARY EXPENDITURES	484,978	120,266	120,266

^{*}Timing difference – actual expenditures used during the quarter include interdepartmental settlements that were coded to the appropriate standard object in subsequent accounting periods.

Fiscal year 2011-12 (in thousands of dollars)

	Planned expenditures for the year ending March 31, 2012	Used during the quarter ended June 30, 2011	Year to date used at quarter-end
Expenditures:			
Personnel (including EBP)	424,938	106,817	106,817
Transportation and communications	28,950	6,234	6,234
Information	55	11	11
Professional and special services	3,182	448	448
Rentals	70	35	35
Repair and maintenance	200	38	38
Utilities, materials and supplies	120	18	18
Acquisition of machinery and equipment	80	26	26
Other subsidies and payments*	5,263	2,713	2,713
Total gross budgetary expenditures	462,858	116,340	116,340
Less: revenues netted against expenditures			
Revenues	(275)	0	0
Total revenues netted against expenditures	(275)	0	0
TOTAL NET BUDGETARY EXPENDITURES	462,583	116,340	116,340

^{*}Timing difference – actual expenditures used during the quarter include interdepartmental settlements that were coded to the appropriate standard object in subsequent accounting periods.